9

10

11

19

20

21

23

24

26

TO THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendants Bank of America Corporation and Bank of America, N.A. (erroneously sued as "FIA Card Services, N.A.")¹ (collectively "Defendants") hereby remove this action described below from the Superior Court of California for the county of San Diego to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, pursuant to Sections 1331 and 1441 of Title 28 of the United States Code ("U.S.C."). The removal is based on the following:

REMOVAL IS PROPER BECAUSE THIS COURT HAS SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 AND 1441

- On April 8, 2015, Plaintiff Sam Atherton filed a complaint in the 1. Superior Court of California for the County of San Diego, entitled *Atherton v. Bank of* 13 America Corporation et al., Case No. 37-2015-00011831-CL-MC-CTL (hereinafter 14 the "State Court Action"). The Complaint alleges causes of action for (1) Violation of 15 the Federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 USC §§ 1692 et seg: and (2) Violation of the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("RFDCPA"), California Civil Code §§ 1788, et seq. The Complaint, Summons, and other State Court Action documents are attached hereto as Exhibit A, as required by 28 U.S.C. §1446(a).
 - 2. This action is a civil action over which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and is one which may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) in that it is a civil action arising under the laws of the United States, specifically the FDCPA.
 - 3. This Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's RFDCPA claim as this claim arises from the same core operative facts relating to the alleged

¹ Although Plaintiff has named "FIA Card Services, N.A." as the defendant in his Complaint, FIA Card Services, N.A. merged into and with Bank of America, N.A., effective October 1, 2014.

5

6

9

10

14

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 violations of the FDCPA. Accordingly, Plaintiff's state law claim is related to 2 Plaintiff's federal question claim, and thereby forms a part of the same case and 3 controversy pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

THE PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS FOR REMOVAL ARE II. **SATISFIED**

- 1. Defendants were served with the Complaint on April 9, 2015. This 7 Notice of Removal is timely in that it is filed within thirty days after receipt by the Defendants of a copy of the initial pleading setting forth the removable claim. See 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).
- The Superior Court of California for the County of San Diego is located 2. within the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. Thus venue is proper in this Court because it is the "district and division embracing the place where such action is pending." 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).
- 3. In compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), Defendants will serve on 15 Plaintiff and will file with the Clerk of the Superior Court for the County of San 16 Diego, a written "Notice to the Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San 17 Diego and Notice To Adverse Parties of Filing of Notice of Removal of Civil Action 18 to Federal Court," attaching a copy of this Notice of Removal and all supporting 19 papers.
 - 4. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

REED SMITH LLP

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully remove this action from the California
Superior Court for the County of San Diego to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
§§ 1331 and 1441.

6 DATED: May 8, 2015

REED SMITH LLP

By: /s/ Raagini Shah_____ Abraham J. Colman Raagini Shah Attorneys for Defendants BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION and BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. (erroneously sued as "FIA Card Services, N.A.")